

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
KING'S BENCH DIVISION
Claim No. KB-2025-001991**

BETWEEN
MAHIM KHAN
Claimant
- and -
ALKIVIADES DAVID
(also known as ALKI DAVID)
Defendant

**EXHIBIT AF
DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE
AND URGENT CHILD SAFETY ALERT**

Filed pursuant to CPR 32.8 - 8 May 2026

I, Alkiviades David, make this exhibit in support of my opposition to the Claimant's applications for a Third Party Debt Order and Charging Order.

This exhibit is filed to place before the Court, in compact form, the essential evidential themes relied upon by the Defendant. The Defendant's case is that the present enforcement applications do not arise in isolation but sit within a wider matrix of coercion, reputational warfare, compromised actors, safeguarding urgency, parallel proceedings, and serious overlap between the parties and networks identified across the Defendant's broader evidence.

This is not filed as a press note. It is filed as a hard-edged supplemental evidential summary directed to the Court's assessment of fairness, context, motive, risk, and the propriety of granting final enforcement relief.

Statement of Truth

I believe that the facts stated in this exhibit are true.

Signed: Alkiviades David

Date: 23 April 2026

1. THE NXIVM CONTINUATION SYSTEM - ALPHA NERO -> NOBU BARBUDA

The Defendant's evidence identifies what he contends is the evolution of an NXIVM-style enterprise from its original configuration into a successor operational structure connected to Antigua and Barbuda.

The original enterprise is alleged to include, among others, Keith Raniere, Clare Bronfman, Sara Bronfman, and Edgar Bronfman Jr. The Defendant further relies on material alleging that the so-called Camila uploads were financed through proceeds linked to CBS, LimeWire, and DropBox-

related pathways, with the public repository identified in the record as CBSYOUSUCK.com/data.

The broader operator cluster identified in the Defendant's evidence includes James Packer, Edgar Bronfman Jr., Clare Bronfman, Sara Bronfman, Alexia Peretz, Dani Peretz, David Boies, Anthony Pellicano, and Keith Raniere. The Defendant also relies on witness material connecting Carole Lieberman and Anthony Pellicano to the wider network.

The Defendant's case is that Alpha Nero operated as a prior asset-protection and operational hub in Antigua, and that Nobu Barbuda now represents the replacement phase: a luxury-branded compromise environment designed to continue elite leverage, blackmail generation, asset extraction, and lawfare under the cover of prestige hospitality.

2. NOBU BARBUDA AS THE REPLACEMENT KOMPROMAT SYSTEM

The Defendant's evidence identifies Nobu Barbuda not as a neutral hospitality development but as a high-risk successor venue in which access, prestige, privacy, and financial influence converge.

The Defendant relies on overlap said to involve JP Morgan, Soho House, and Nobu; Nick Leventis, identified by the Defendant as a central figure; crossover involving Christo Leventis and Alexia Peretz; and Diddy / Proto aircraft activity in Antigua. The Defendant's case is that this replacement system is designed to replicate the operational logic of earlier NXIVM-style compromise structures while relocating them into a more refined and defensible commercial setting.

3. ANTIGUA CLAIM ANUHCV2025/0149 - DEFAULTS LEFT HANGING AFTER RECUSAL

The claim ANUHCV2025/0149 has been filed in the High Court of Antigua and Barbuda. The Defendant's position is that the listed defendants are in default and that the matter has been left hanging following the apparent recusal of Justice Williams.

The filed claim, identified in the evidence as original-Claim.jpg, includes among the defendants David Boies, Gloria Allred, Dani Peretz, Shari Redstone, Daphne Barak, Michael Avenatti, Tom Girardi, Black Cube Ltd, LimeWire NFT Holdings, the Estate of Edgar Bronfman Sr., John Branca, John McClain, Executive Members of the United Progressive Party, and Does 1-100.

The fuller list of defendants, lawyers, operators, media entities, financial institutions, and fixers relied upon by the Defendant is contained in Alkiviades David.nov.pdf. The Defendant relies on this Antigua matter as proof that the present enforcement applications arise against an already-live landscape of defaults, recusal, and overlapping actors.

4. TRAIL OF SUSPICIOUS DEATHS AND MURDERS

The Defendant further relies on a pattern of deaths which he says are linked by common interests, common actors, or common silence-enforcement dynamics. These include Asot Michael in Antigua; Aaron 'Cain' McKnight in Dallas; and the deaths of attorneys Mark Lieberman, John Quirk, Rebecca Rini, and Barry Rothman.

The Defendant does not ask this Court to determine criminal liability on those matters. He does, however, rely on them as part of the surrounding evidential pattern showing intimidation, suppression, and grave risk attached to the network and interests described in the evidence bundle.

5. URGENT CHILD SAFETY ALERT

The Defendant places on record an urgent safeguarding alert. He reports serious abuse and child-trafficking concerns involving Nick Leventis, now 48, and contends that those concerns are directly connected to the Nobu Barbuda compromise system and the crossover identified above.

The Defendant's position is that the safeguarding risk is real, current, and cannot responsibly be treated as collateral while coercive enforcement steps continue. The Defendant further states that his own children are exposed to risk arising from those overlapping family, financial, and operational structures.

6. LAWYERS TO NXIVM / COMPROMISED LEGAL CORRIDOR

The Defendant's evidence further alleges that the legal machinery surrounding him cannot be treated as cleanly compartmentalised from the wider NXIVM-linked and compromise-linked network. The Defendant's case is that certain lawyers and legal operators are compromised, conflicted, or tainted by overlap with the interests identified across the broader record.

This is relied upon not as rhetoric, but as part of the Defendant's case on motive, coordination, abuse of process, and why the Court should hesitate before converting interim coercive relief into final enforcement orders.

7. NAMED NXIVM PLANTS AND OPERATIONAL NODES

The Defendant identifies the following persons as forming part of the wider influence and operational landscape addressed in the evidence: Mahim Khan, Elizabeth Taylor, Lauren Reeves, Chasity Jones, Rita Nichols, Anoushka de Georgiou, David Nussbaum, Gary 'Nuzzy' Shoefield, and Donna Smith.

8. MEDIA CARTEL WALL

The Defendant further relies on evidence of a media and corporate influence wall involving Viacom, UMG, Warner Music Group, and Comcast Ventures. His case is that this media power has functioned as a narrative shield, distorting first impressions, suppressing exposure, and making it materially harder for safeguarding and criminal concerns to break through by conventional means.

The Defendant notes that Shockya has been one of the few outlets through which he has been able to force evidence and narrative resistance into the public domain.

9. DISABILITY WEAPONISED AGAIN AND AGAIN

The Defendant is disabled and suffers from severe traumatic brain injury. He says that his disability has repeatedly not been accommodated but instead weaponised against him, including

by early-morning court demands, lack of respect for dignity, and conduct that has undermined his effective participation.

The Defendant relies on this not as a side issue but as part of the cumulative unfairness surrounding the present enforcement drive.

10. FALSE PROCEDURE OVERLAPS / WHY FINAL ENFORCEMENT SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED IN A VACUUM

The Defendant's case is that false or distorted procedural overlays continue to be used while the Court is asked to drive rapidly toward final enforcement.

Those overlaps exist, he says, against a backdrop of child-safety emergency, suspicious deaths, NXIVM-linked interests, the replacement of Alpha Nero by Nobu Barbuda as a compromise structure, the Antigua defaults now stalled by recusal, and a compromised legal corridor.

Despite all of that, Senior Master Cook is proceeding with the Third Party Debt Order and Charging Order hearing listed for 18 May 2026. The Defendant respectfully says the present applications cannot fairly be decided in a vacuum.

11. DETAILED EVIDENCE INDEX (ORIGINAL FILE NAMES)

The Defendant relies on the following working index of original file names and exhibit references. This index is intended to preserve exact file identity and assist the Court and any reviewing officer in locating the supporting material within the consolidated evidence bundle and related attachments.

Exhibit Ref	Original File Name
CD-1	Carl_Dawson_Affidavit.pdf
NN-1	NUSSBAUM had no idea about Hologram until i taught him.pdf
JN-1	JENNI NUSSBAUM.pdf
DP-1	DIDDY PORTL.pdf
DP-2	DIDDY PROTO.jpg
GP-1	WhatsApp Chat with Giovanni Palma.zip
GP-2	GIO 8.pdf
GP-3	GIO7.pdf
GP-4	GIO 4.pdf
GP-5	GIO2-VO.pdf
GP-6	GIOS 6 VO.pdf
TR-1	RANDA 0 boroc.jpeg
TR-2	randa2s.jpeg
TR-3	RANDA2.jpeg
AD-1	EXHIBIT AD HR BAD.pdf
AE-1	FILMON LAW.COM.png
SW-1	SWISSX LEGAL - A GLOBAL PRIVATE CRIMINAL PROSECUTION.pdf
EA-1	EVES ALERT.pdf
CID-1	CID REGISTRATION.pdf
MA-1	AlKiviades_David_Master_Affidavit_CID_Privy_Council (4).pdf
NX-1	NXIVM_Tracker_Name_Ledger.xlsx
DOJ-1	DOJ - NXIVM (1).pdf

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE - KING'S BENCH DIVISION

KB-1	King's Bench Master's Listing - Order (1).pdf
KB-2	King's Bench Master's Listing-Order (5).pdf
LE-1	Gmail - LAW ENFORCEMENT ALERT — URGENT CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION REQUIRED Dallas Police Department Homicide Unit _ Crimes Against Persons Division (1).pdf
SY-1	Scotland_Yard_Investigative_Memorandum_Asot_Michael_SWISSX_final.pdf
SD-1	SwissX_Asot_DuCharme_Jeffers_Report_v6.pdf
TF-1	traitor filing.pdf
AK-1	original-Claim.jpg
AK-2	Alkiviades David.nov.pdf

12. CONCLUSION

This exhibit is filed to ensure that the Court has, in one concentrated document, the central evidential pattern relied upon by the Defendant.

The Defendant respectfully submits that the Court should approach any final enforcement order with extreme caution given the wider overlap, the safeguarding emergency raised herein, the Antigua defaults and recusal context, the credibility issues affecting surrounding actors, and the Defendant's disability and ability to participate fairly.

The Defendant relies on this exhibit in opposition to the Claimant's applications for a Third Party Debt Order and Charging Order.