

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION

Claim No. KB-2025-001991

ALKIVIADES A. DAVID (Claimant / Appellant in person)

v

[RESPONDENTS AS PER CLAIM FORM]

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON CONTEMPORANEOUS REPORTING AND RISK OF PREJUDICE TO MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL PROCEEDINGS

Filed on behalf of the Appellant (in person)

Date: 27 February 2026

Introduction

The Appellant respectfully draws the Court's attention to a publication dated 25 February 2026 by The Guardian concerning Mr. Rovier Carrington.

Mr. Carrington is a declarant whose sworn materials form part of the consolidated evidentiary record in related proceedings before the Eastern Caribbean Supreme Court (Claim No. ANUHCV2025/0149) (see Exhibit-PGLOB, filed October 2025). Those materials intersect factually with issues relevant to these proceedings.

This note is filed solely on the issue of potential prejudice to procedural fairness and is not an allegation of impropriety against any publisher or journalist.

Cross-Jurisdictional Context

Active proceedings include:

- Eastern Caribbean Supreme Court (Antigua & Barbuda Circuit): ANUHCV2025/0149 (jurisdiction confirmed 16 January 2026; liability default October 2025; contempt notices filed 3 February 2026).
- High Court of Justice, King's Bench Division: KB-2025-001991 (current appellate proceedings).
- Related civil contexts in California (prior matters involving Mr. Carrington).

Mr. Carrington is a declarant in the Antigua record. His declarations and corroborating materials (including those of Mercedes Stanley, Keenan Carter, Alex Vega, Jeremy Escherich, and Witness X/John Doe) are integrated into a consolidated evidentiary bundle (Exhibit-PGLOB) alleging systemic misconduct within media and corporate structures.

The overlap is structural, concerning executive-level conduct, alleged blackmail leverage through recorded material, and patterns of coercion affecting multiple complainants.

The 25 February 2026 Publication

The Guardian article reports exclusively on Mr. Carrington's U.S. perjury conviction and related procedural history.

It does not reference:

- The existence of active proceedings in ANUHCV2025/0149.
- The consolidated sworn declarations lodged 5–8 October 2025 (Exhibit-PGLOB).
- Mr. Carrington’s ongoing status as a material declarant in civil litigation.

The reporting is not alleged to be unlawful. However, its framing (exclusive focus on conviction without reference to parallel proceedings) may carry implications for witness credibility in these proceedings.

Risk of Prejudice

The Appellant respectfully submits that:

Where a key declarant is publicly framed solely through the lens of a prior conviction without reference to active civil proceedings; and
Where those civil proceedings allege systemic enterprise conduct implicating multiple actors across jurisdictions;
Reputational compression may affect perceived credibility, willingness of corroborating witnesses to come forward, judicial perception in related enforcement and appellate contexts, and overall fairness of multi-jurisdictional consideration.

This risk is particularly acute where the allegations concern sensitive subject matter described in sworn declarations now under review.

Article 6 ECHR Considerations

Under Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights, the Court must ensure fairness and equality of arms.

Where witness credibility is central, multi-jurisdictional litigation is active, and public reporting narrows context in a manner that materially alters perception of a declarant, the Court may properly take notice of external pressures affecting fairness.

Relief Sought

The Appellant respectfully requests that the Court:

- Take judicial notice of the 25 February 2026 publication.
- Recognise the potential for reputational prejudice to key witnesses and connected declarants.
- Consider whether any clarification of evidentiary posture or protective directions would be appropriate in light of the cross-border proceedings.

No restriction on publication or reporting is sought. The concern is limited to safeguarding procedural fairness.

Conclusion

Mr. Carrington is a declarant in ongoing proceedings alleging systemic misconduct across corporate and media structures (Exhibit-PGLOB). Selective external framing, while lawful, may have collateral impact on fairness in multi-jurisdictional litigation.

This note is filed to preserve procedural integrity.

Respectfully submitted,

Alkiviades A. David
Claimant / Appellant in person
Ambassador-at-Large
SwissX Island, St. John’s, Antigua & Barbuda
ceo@swissx.com
+1 (268) 780-4133

Date: 27 February 2026

Filed via: Submitted as disabled litigant in person

Attachments:

Exhibit-PGLOB (October 2025 filing in ANUHCV2025/0149)

Printout of The Guardian article dated 25 February 2026